

# Case Summary for 19951840

## as of 12-Jul-07

Receipt Method:	Report	NAVIG Action Officer: <span style="background-color: black; color: black;">[REDACTED]</span>
Investigation Type:	Navy Hotline	Investigation Status: Closed
Investigations Action:	Tasker	Open Status:
Investigation Received:	29-Nov-1995	Dollar Amount Recovered:
Investigation Opened:	05-Dec-1995	Remedies Action:
Investigation Closed:	29-Mar-1997	Safety Alert <input type="checkbox"/>

**Investigation Summary:** ALLEGED ABUSE OF POSITION AND ATTEMPTED EXTORTION BY MEMBER ASSIGNED TO NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL, MONTEREY, CA NCIS O.R. REPORTS ALLEGATIONS FROM (b)(6), (b)(7)(c) THAT (b)(6), (b)(7)(c) USN, ABUSED HIS POS WHEN HE MADE DEFAMATORY REMARKS REHOMOSEXUALS ON A COMMERCIAL ON-LINE SVC WHILE IDENTIFYING HIMSELF AS A NAVY (b)(6), (b)(7)(c) SAID ASSOCIATING HIS POS AS A NAVY LEADERW/HIS VIEWS IS CONTRARY TO SECNAV "DON'T ASK; DON'T TELL; DON'T PURSUE" POLICY. ALSO THREAT TO EXPOSE PARTRIDGE AS A "RADICAL LESBIAN" IF SHE "RUINS HIS CAREER."

**Investigation Remarks:** SOURCE: NCIS O.R.(ONLY) OF 17NOV95  
 \*970329: I AM CLOSING THIS CASE BECAUSE WE NO LONGER OPEN CASES SOLELY ON NCIS PAPERWORK. (b)(6), (b)(7)(c) ACTING.  
 @991008 - Rcvd ltr from subject: (b)(6), (b)(7)(c), dtd 12 Aug 1999. Opened separate case with him as complainant.//TK

Investigation Assigned By	Original Due Date	Current Due Date	Completed
<u>Investigation Assigned To</u>	Original Due Date	Current Due Date	Date Report Received Comple
NAVCRIMINVSERV	23 Feb 1996	23 Feb 1996	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

### Investigation Cross References

Navy Hotline	19990897
NCIS	17NOV95-SFTI-0035-7XCR

**Complainant: Anonymous,** UIC

**Confidential** ☐

**Complainant Correspondence Date:**

SSN:                      Gender:                      Race:                      Rating:

**Work Group:**

Phone Numbers

Addresses

**Complainant Remarks:**

**Subject: NAVY POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY, C** UIC

~~For Official Use Only~~  
~~Protect in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 as amended, 5 USC Section 552a.~~

# Case Summary for 19951840

as of 12-Jul-07

SSN:                      Gender:                      Race:                      Rating:  
Work Group   Conversion                      N/A                      N/A                      Unknown

Phone Numbers

Addresses

Subject  
Remarks:

<b>Allegation: Abuse of Title/Position</b>	<b>Finding: Unsubstantiated</b>
Statement of Allegation:	
Date Occurred:	RootCause:
Disposition:	
Rule Violation:	

<b>Allegation: Criminal Issues</b>	<b>Finding: Unsubstantiated</b>
Statement of Allegation:	
Date Occurred:	RootCause:
Disposition:	
Rule Violation:	

**Subject:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(c) **MISCONDUCT, MISREPR'TN, THRE** UIC 62271

SSN:                      Gender:                      Race:                      Rating:  
Work Group   Military                      Active                      Officer                      (b)

Phone Numbers

Addresses

Subject  
Remarks:

<b>Allegation: Abuse of Title/Position</b>	<b>Finding: Unsubstantiated</b>
Statement of Allegation:	
Date Occurred:	RootCause:
Disposition:	
Rule Violation:	

~~For Official Use Only~~  
~~Protect in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 as amended. 5 USC Section 552a.~~

# Case Summary for 19951840

as of 12-Jul-07

**Allegation: Criminal Issues**

**Finding: Unsubstantiated**

**Statement of Allegation:**

**Date Occurred:**

**Root Cause:**

**Disposition:**

**Rule Violation:**

~~For Official Use Only~~

~~Protect in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 as amended. 5 USC Section 552a.~~

# Case Summary for 19990897

## as of 12-Jul-07

Receipt Method:	Letter	NAVIG Action Officer:	(b)(6), (b)(7)(c)
Investigation Type:	Navy Hotline	Investigation Status:	Closed
Investigations Action:	Information	Open Status:	
Investigation Received:	08-Oct-1999	Dollar Amount Recovered:	\$0.00
Investigation Opened:	22-Oct-1999	Remedies Action:	
Investigation Closed:	01-Nov-1999	Safety Alert	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Investigation Summary:** ALLEGED UNFAIR TREATMENT BY THE CHIEF OF NAVAL PERSONNEL

Complainant alleges that the Chief of Naval Personnel (CHNAVPERS) is harassing him by requiring he respond, before promoting him to (b)(6), to allegations to do with a Naval IG investigation against him which was investigated and unsubstantiated approximately three years ago.

A preliminary inquiry by (b)(6) determined that CHNAVPERS is requiring a response from him not to answer for the unsub allegations against him in Case #19951840, but to provide more information to BUPERS about his formal counseling by the CO for questionable judgement. (b)(6)

**Investigation Remarks:** @991008 - Rcvd ltr from (b)(6), (b)(7) (subj of NAVIG #19951840), dtd 12 Aug 1999. Alleges unfair treatment by BUPERS which is now requiring he repond to them regarding these allegations. (b)(6), (b)(7) complains that these allegations were not substantiated and the Navy should stop holding up his career (b)(6) promotion) because of them. Contacted (b)(6), (b)(7)(c) BUPERS, to obtain BUPERS' side of the story. Awaiting response. Cross referenced both cases in CMIS. (b)(6) 991015 - BUPERS, says that they are requiring a response from (b)(6), (b)(7) not because of the unsubstantiated allegations but because the ROI cites that he used questionable judgment and was formally counseled by his CO. (b)(6) 991022 - Recommend close case and send letter to (b)(6), (b)(7) informing him of the above. Referred case to (b)(6), (b)(7) as it involves BUPERS issues, for her review. (b)(6) 991105 - Reviewed complainant's ltr of 12 Aug 99; prepared info ltr & ltr to complainant. Update CMIS. (b)(6) 991108 - ltr mailed - ser n68/1914 (b)(6) 991118 - ltr mailed - ser n68/1986 (b)(6) 04MAR04- Destroy 04MAR04.//iocNR106

Investigation Assigned By	Original Due Date	Current Due Date	Completed
Investigation Assigned To	Original Due Date	Current Due Date	Date Report Received
CHNAVPERS			<input type="checkbox"/>

### Investigation Cross References

Navy Hotline	19951840
--------------	----------

<b>Complainant:</b> (b)(6), (b)(7)(c)		UIC	
<b>Confidential</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Complainant Correspondence Date:</b>	8/12/1999	
<b>SSN:</b> (b)(6)	<b>Gender:</b> Male	<b>Race:</b> Unknown	<b>Rating:</b> (b)(6)
<b>Work Group:</b> Military	Active	Officer	03

~~For Official Use Only~~  
~~Protect in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 as amended. 5 USC Section 552a.~~

# Case Summary for 19990897

## as of 12-Jul-07

### Phone Numbers

DSN (b)(6), (b)(7)(c)  
Home - Voice (b)(6), (b)(7)(c)  
Work - Voice (b)(6), (b)(7)(c)

### Addresses

Home (b)(6), (b)(7)(c)  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(c)

(b)(6)  
(6)

Complainant  
Remarks:

**Subject: Chief of Naval Personnel**

UIC 00022

SSN: Gender: Race: Rating:  
Work Group Military Active Officer Unknown

### Phone Numbers

### Addresses

Subject  
Remarks:

**Allegation: Appearance of Impropriety**

**Finding: Unsubstantiated**

**Statement of Allegation:** Complainant alleges that the Chief of Naval Personnel (CHNAVPER) is harassing him by requiring he respond, before promoting him to (b)(6), to allegations to do with a Naval IG investigation against him which was investigated and unsubstantiated approximately three years ago.

**Date Occurred:**

**Root Cause:**

**Disposition:** A preliminary inquiry by (b)(6) determined that CHNAVPER is requiring a response from him not to answer for the unsub allegations against him in Case #19951840, but to provide more information to BUPERS about his formal counseling by the CO for questionable judgement. (b)(6)

**Rule Violation:** None.

~~For Official Use Only~~

~~Protect in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 as amended. 5 USC Section 552a.~~

# Case Summary for 19990897

## as of 12-Jul-07

**Allegation: Other**

**Finding: Not Investigated**

**Statement of Allegation:** Complainant requests response to his questions: 1) How is it possible for a frivolous & unsub ltr that had been previously investigated & dismissed by competent authority almost 4 yrs ago interfere w/his promotion that he earned? 2) Why did the system wait until I was up for promotion (i.e., 4 yrs after the incident) to once again raise this issue? 3) Is it normal practice to allow an officer w/what the NAVPERSCOM considered to be an outstanding allegation of extortion, to maintain a Top Secret SCI & Top Secret SIOP-ESI clearance? 4) Is it normal to allow an officer with what the NAVPERSCOM considered to be an outstanding allegation of extortion, to be placed in charge of a Trident II nuclear weapons system and personally responsible for the operation of the firing trigger? 5) Since this information was available to the right people in May 1999, why didn't someone at the NAVPERSCOM, SECNAV, or the Office of the JAG ask these same questions? Why didn't someone attempt to contact me for comment? 6) Since the system has already shown to be flawed once, what do naval officers, such as myself, need to do to ensure that the necessary steps are taken to prevent frivolous and unsubstantiated allegations from interfering w/future admin and statutory boards? Astonished that an unsub ltr, evaluated as such by competent authority, carried more weight than my documented superb performance as a Naval Officer.

**Date Occurred:**

**RootCause:**

**Disposition:** Not an IG matter

**Rule Violation:**

~~For Official Use Only~~

~~Protect in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 as amended, 5 USC Section 552a.~~